# Course Syllabus

### SIPS 601/602

#### Academic Year 2025

## Department of Physiology

Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University

Course ID and name:	SIPS 601/2 Seminar in Medical Physiology I/II
Course coordinator:	Assoc. Prof. Suwattanee Kooptiwut, M.D., Ph.D.
Instructors:	Assoc. Prof. Suwattanee Kooptiwut, M.D., Ph.D.
	Assoc. Prof. Sorachai Srisuma, M.D., Ph.D.
	Assoc. Prof. Narawut Pakaprot, M.D., Ph.D.
	Assoc. Prof. Reawika Chaikomin, M.D., Ph.D.
	Assoc. Prof. Chantacha Sitticharoon, M.D., Ph.D
	Assist. Prof. Sompol Teapchum, M.D., Ph.D.
	Lecturer Rujapope Sutiwisesak, M.D., Ph.D.
Credits:	1 (1-0-2) (lecture – laboratory – self-study)
Curriculum:	Doctor of Philosophy in Medical Physiology
Course type:	☐ Core
Semester offering:	1-2/2025
Prerequisite:	None
Date of Latest Revision:	05/08/2025

### **Course Description:**

Seminar presentation of current research articles of medical physiology, current concept, rationale and significance, research question, experimental approach, related technologies, result interpretation, discussion of impact of the studies on the progress of biomedicine and medical physiology

## Course-level Learning Outcomes (CLOs)

Upon completion of this course, students are able to:

- 1. Present a well-organized and coherent review of scientific literature relevant to a topic of interest.
- 2. Interpret key physiological concepts and supporting evidence from current literature.

- 3. Communicate scholarly content effectively in a professional academic forum, using appropriate visuals, clear language, and confident delivery.
- 4. Respond to critical questions, demonstrating depth of knowledge and the ability to elaborate on physiological concepts.

# Constructive Alignment of CLOs and Program's ELOs

CLOs	ELO1	ELO2	ELO3	ELO4
1. Present a well-organized and coherent review	Р			Р
of scientific literature relevant to a topic of				
interest.				
2. Interpret key physiological concepts and	Р	Р		
supporting evidence from current literature.				
3. Communicate scholarly content effectively in a			Р	Р
professional academic forum, using appropriate				
visuals, clear language, and confident delivery.				
4. Respond to critical questions, demonstrating			Р	Р
depth of knowledge and the ability to				
elaborate on physiological concepts.				

Remarks: Show the level of the course management with the symbols I, R, P, and M.

# Program's Expected Learning Outcomes

- 1. Analyze the different concepts, theories, hypotheses related to medical physiological field of interest.
- 2. Conduct extensive and independent research in medical physiology that expands the frontiers of knowledge in the field of an area of interest.
- 3. Criticize the research work with a detailed and leading-edge knowledge of physiology in an area of interest.
- **4.** Disseminate new insights of medical physiology to peers and the scientific community at international level.

# Course Schedule and teaching/assessment plan

No.	Topic	Hours			Teaching & learning strategy	Assessment		
		Lecture	Labor atory	Self- study	CLOs		(in-class)	Lecturers
1	Research article search and screening 1	1	-	2	2	Consult with the advisor to identify and select research articles of interest.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
2	Preliminary article discussion 1	2	-	4	2,4	Analyze and discuss the methodology and scientific content.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
3	Preliminary outline and slide design 1	1	-	2	1,3	Prepare a detailed outline and initial slides (including rationale, figures, tables, conclusions).	Reflection / Question	Advisor
4	First rehearsal 1	1.5	-	3	1,3	Present a full draft seminar to the advisor or lab group.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
5	Second rehearsal 1	1	-	2	1,3,4	Refine and polish the presentation, focusing on transitions, timing, and readiness for Q&A.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
6	Seminar presentation 1	1	-	2	1,2,3,4	Deliver the presentation.	Reflection / Question	Faculty
7	Research article search and screening 2	1	-	2	2	Consult with the advisor to identify and select research articles of interest.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
8	Preliminary article discussion 2	2	-	4	2,4	Analyze and discussion the methodology and scientific content.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
9	Preliminary outline and slide design 2	1	-	2	1,3	Prepare a detailed outline and initial slides (including rationale, figures, tables, conclusions.	Reflection / Question	Advisor
10	First rehearsal 2	1.5	-	3	1,3	Present a full draft seminar to the advisor or lab group.	Reflection / Question	Advisor

11	Second rehearsal 2	1	-	2	1,3,4	Refine and polish the presentation, focusing on	Reflection /	Advisor
						transitions, timing, and readiness for Q&A.	Question	
12	Seminar presentation 2	1	-	2	1,2,3,4	Deliver the presentation.	Reflection /	Faculty
							Question	
	Total hours of the study	15	-	30				

# Course Assignments

- Oral presentations: 2 times
- Each presentation will be 45-60 minutes, followed by a 30-minute Q&A session.

#### Assessment Criteria

- Preparation and presentation 1 (50%)
  - Preparation evaluation by the major advisor using the preparation evaluation rubric, conducted after the second rehearsal (10%)
  - Presentation evaluation by the faculty, including the major advisor, using the presentation evaluation rubric, conducted after the seminar presentation (40%)
- Preparation and presentation 2 (50%)
  - Preparation evaluation by the major advisor using the preparation evaluation rubric, conducted after the second rehearsal (10%)
  - Presentation evaluation by the faculty, including the major advisor, using the presentation evaluation rubric, conducted after the seminar presentation (40%)

# Preparation evaluation rubric

Criteria	4	3	2	1	0	Weight	CLOs
							addressed
Depth and	Comprehensive	Relevant and	Adequate	Limited	No evidence	×1	CLO2
relevance of	and highly	appropriate	selection	literature	of relevant		
literature	relevant	selection of	with some	review;	literature		
selected	literature;	literature;	gaps or less	misses key	review.		
	demonstrates	generally	relevant	references			
	critical	well-	material.	or includes			
	engagement	integrated.		irrelevant			
	with key			sources.			
	sources.						
Clarity and	Content is	Organization	Basic	Organizatio	No clear	×1	CLO1
coherence of	logically	is mostly	structure	n is weak	structure or		
content	organized with	logical; minor	present;	or difficult	logical flow.		
organization	clear	areas of	some	to follow.			
	progression of	confusion.	disjointed or				
	ideas; easy to		unclear				
	follow.		sections.				
Conceptual	Demonstrates	Shows good	Understands	Frequent	Major	×1	CLO4
understanding	deep	understanding	basic	inaccuracie	misconcepti		
of the topic	understanding	with few	concepts	s or	ons or little		
	of physiological	conceptual	but lacks	unclear	understandi		
	concepts and	inaccuracies.	integration	explanatio	ng evident.		
	their		or depth.	ns.			
	interconnection						
	S.						
Slide and	Slides are	Slides are	Slides are	Poor visual	Slides not	×1	CLO3
visual design	professional,	clear and	adequate	design;	prepared or		
	well-designed,	support the	but may be	slides	highly		
	and enhance	presentation.	overly text-	distract	inappropriat		
	understanding.		heavy or	from	e.		
			visually	content.			
			inconsistent.				

Presentation evaluation rubric

Criteria	4	3	2	1	0	Weight	CLO
							addressed
Organization	Very well, easy to follow	Well, easy to follow	Fair, able to follow	Poor, hard to follow	Loss of organization, unable to follow	x2	CLO1
Able to elaborate and interpret	Outstanding, thorough with supporting evidence and discussion	Appropriate with supporting evidence and discussion	Some with supporting evidence and discussion	Limited, insufficient supporting evidence and discussion	Lacking without supporting evidence and discussion	x2	CLO2
Use of diagram/graphics	Professional use for reinforcing presentation ; easy to read	Use for relating text and presentation	Occasional use for supporting text and presentation	Occasional use; too much text	No use, too much text; lack of interest to follow	×1	CLO3
Spelling and grammatical errors	None	Few	Some	Many	Too many	×1	CLO3
Voice, pronunciation	Uses clear, audible voice with correct pronunciatio n	Clear voice; pronounces most words correctly	Soft voice, pronounces some words correctly	Low voice, pronounces many words incorrectly	Mumbles, incorrectly pronounces most words; difficult to follow	x1	CLO3
Eye contact	Maintains good eye contact	Appropriate	Occasional	Minimal	Lacking	x0.5	CLO3
Note/screen reading	None; speaks from understandi	Rarely	Occasionally	Mostly	Entirely	x0.5	CLO3
Able to respond to questions	Entirely	Most	Some	Few	None	×2	CLO4

## GRADE SCALE

A-B	Passing grade with passing all CLOs (as assessed by the
	presentation evaluation rubric)
C-F	Non-passing grade
I-1	Complete the assigned work within one month after the grade
	is announced.
I-2	Complete the assigned work and retake the new evaluation
	within the next semester.
I-3	Repeat the course as soon as it is offered.

# **Appeal Procedure**

- Any request about the course teaching and learning activities should be directed to the course coordinator.
- Otherwise, please follow the university's rules and regulations.